

First-Order Logic Theories

3.17.1 Definition (First-Order Logic Theory)

Given a first-order many-sorted signature Σ , a *theory* $\mathcal{T}$ is a set of Σ -algebras.

For some first-order formula ϕ over Σ we say that ϕ is $\mathcal{T}$ -*satisfiable* if there is some $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\beta) \models \phi$ for some β . We say that ϕ is $\mathcal{T}$ -*valid* ($\mathcal{T}$ -*unsatisfiable*) if for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}$ and all β it holds $\mathcal{A}(\beta) \models \phi$ ($\mathcal{A}(\beta) \not\models \phi$). In case of validity I also write $\models_{\mathcal{T}} \phi$.

Alternatively, $\mathcal{T}$ may contain a set of satisfiable axioms which then stand for all algebras satisfying the axioms.

7.1.1 Definition (Convex Theory)

A theory $\mathcal{T}$ is *convex* if for a conjunction ϕ of literals with $\phi \models_{\mathcal{T}} x_1 \approx y_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n \approx y_n$ then $\phi \models_{\mathcal{T}} x_k \approx y_k$ for some k .

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\forall x, y(x \approx a \vee x \approx b)\}$$
$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\forall x, y, z. (x \not\approx y \vee x \not\approx z \vee y \not\approx z)$$


A theory $\mathcal{T}$ is *stably-infinite* if for every quantifier-free formula ϕ , if $\mathcal{T} \models \phi$, then there exists also a model $\mathcal{A}$ of infinite cardinality, such that $\mathcal{A} \models_{\mathcal{T}} \phi$

Nelson-Oppen Combination

7.1.3 Definition (Nelson-Oppen Basic Restrictions)

Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be two theories. Then the *Nelson-Oppen Basic Restrictions* are:

- (i) There are decision procedures for $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$.
- (ii) Each decision procedure returns a complete set of variable identities as consequence of a formula.
- (iii) $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \emptyset$ except for common sorts.
- (iv) Both theories are convex.
- (v) $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ are stably-infinite.



Purify $N \uplus \{L[t[s]_i]_p\} \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}} N \uplus \{L[t[z]_i]_p, z \approx s\}$

if $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$, $s = h(s_1, \dots, s_m)$, the function symbols f and h are from different signatures, $1 \leq i \leq n$, (i.e., $t_i = s$) and z is a fresh variable of appropriate sort



Nelson-Oppen Calculus

Now a Nelson-Oppen problem state is a five tuple (N_1, E_1, N_2, E_2, s) with $s \in \{\top, \perp, \text{fail}\}$, the sets E_1 and E_2 contain variable equations, and N_1, N_2 literals over the respective signatures, where

$(N_1; \emptyset; N_2; \emptyset; \perp)$ is the start state for some purified set of atoms $N = N_1 \cup N_2$ where the N_i are built from the respective signatures only

$(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \text{fail})$ is a final state, where $N_1 \cup N_2 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ is unsatisfiable

$(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \perp)$ is an intermediate state, where $N_1 \cup E_2$ and $N_2 \cup E_1$ have to be checked for satisfiability

$(N_1; \emptyset; N_2; \emptyset; \top)$ is a final state, where $N_1 \cup N_2$ is satisfiable

Solve $(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \perp) \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}} (N'_1; E'_1; N'_2; E'_2; \perp)$

if $N'_1 = N_1 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ and $N'_2 = N_2 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ are both $\mathcal{T}_i$ -satisfiable, respectively, E'_1 are all new variable equations derivable from N'_1 , E'_2 are all new variable equations derivable from N'_2 and $E'_1 \cup E'_2 \neq \emptyset$

Success $(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \perp) \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}} (N'_1; \emptyset; N'_2; \emptyset; \top)$

if $N'_1 = N_1 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ and $N'_2 = N_2 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ are both $\mathcal{T}_i$ -satisfiable, respectively, E'_1 are all new variable equations derivable from N'_1 , E'_2 are all new variable equations derivable from N'_2 and $E'_1 \cup E'_2 = \emptyset$

Fail $(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \perp) \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}} (N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; \text{fail})$

if $N'_1 = N_1 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ or $N'_2 = N_2 \cup E_1 \cup E_2$ is $\mathcal{T}_i$ -unsatisfiable, respectively

7.1.6 Definition (Arrangement)

Given a (finite) set of parameters X , an *arrangement* A over X is a (finite) set of equalities and inequalities over X such that for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$ either $x_1 \approx x_2 \in A$ or $x_1 \not\approx x_2 \in A$.

7.1.7 Proposition (Nelson-Oppen modulo Arrangement)

Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be two theories satisfying the restrictions of Definition 7.1.3 except for restriction 2. Let ϕ be a conjunction of literals over $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$. Let N_1 and N_2 be the purified literal sets out of ϕ . Then ϕ is satisfiable iff there is an arrangement A over $\text{vars}(\phi)$ such that $N_1 \cup A$ is $\mathcal{T}_1$ -satisfiable and $N_2 \cup A$ is $\mathcal{T}_2$ -satisfiable.

7.1.8 Theorem (Nelson-Oppen is Sound, Complete and Terminating)

Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ be two theories satisfying the Nelson-Oppen basic restrictions. Let ϕ be a conjunction of literals over $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ and N_1, N_2 be the result of purifying ϕ .

(i) All sequences $(N_1; \emptyset; N_2; \emptyset; \perp) \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}}^* \dots$ are finite.

Let $(N_1; \emptyset; N_2; \emptyset; \perp) \Rightarrow_{\text{NO}}^* (N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; s)$ be a derivation with finite state $(N_1; E_1; N_2; E_2; s)$,

(ii) If $s = \text{fail}$ then ϕ is unsatisfiable in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$.

(iii) If $s = \top$ then ϕ is satisfiable in $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$.